Teleparallel equivalent of higher dimensional gravity theories

N. Astudillo-Neira* and P. Salgado†

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile.

(Dated: March 17, 2017)

arXiv:1703.07831v1 [hep-th] 22 Mar 2017

Abstract

The equivalence between the Lanczos-Lovelock and teleparallel gravities is discused. It is shown that the teleparallel equivalent of the Lovelock gravity action is generated by dimensional continuation of the teleparallel equivalent of the Euler characteristics associated to all the lower even dimensions. It is also found that the teleparallel equivalent of the (i) d-dimensional Euler characteristic is a closed form and gauge invariant, (ii) Lovelock action are invariant both under the Poincare group and diffeomorphisms.

*Electronic address: nastudillo@udec.cl

†Electronic address: pasalgad@udec.cl

I. INTRODUCTION

Teleparallel gravity can be interpreted as a gauge theory for the translation group [1–5]. Due to the peculiar character of translations, any gauge theory including these transformations will differ from the usual internal gauge models in many ways, the most significant being the presence of a tetrad field. On the other hand, a tetrad field can be naturally used to define a linear Weitzenbök connection, which is a connection presenting torsion, but no curvature. A tetrad field can also be naturally used to define a riemannian metric, in terms of which a Levi-Civita connection can be constructed. As is well known, it is a connection presenting curvature, but no torsion. It is important to keep in mind that torsion and curvature are properties of a connection [3], and that many different connections can be defined on the same space [4, 5]. Therefore one can say that the presence of a nontrivial tetrad field in a gauge theory induces both a teleparallel and a riemannian structure in spacetime. The first is related to the Weitzenbök, and the second to the Levi-Civita connection.

Teleparallel gravity is a gauge theory for the translation group [1–5] whose gauge connection for this group is given by $A = e^a P_a$. The corresponding covariant derivative is then given by $D\phi = (dx^a + e^a)\,\partial_a\phi = h^{\,a}\partial_a\phi$ and the 2-form torsion is given by $T^a = K^a{}_c h^c$, where $h^{\,a} = Dx^a = dx^a + e^a$ is the called tetrad field (see Appendix A) and K^{ab} is the so called one-form contorsion. The $(3+1)$- dimensional action for teleparallel gravity, also known as the teleparallel equivalent, given by Eq. (14) of Ref. [4] can be then written in the form (see also [6, 7])

$$S_{Tel} = -\int \varepsilon_{abcd} K^a_l K^{lb} h^c h^d. \tag{1}$$

Using the identity $D\varepsilon_{abcd} = 0$, it is possible to show that the action (1) can be written as

$$S_{Tel} = -\int \varepsilon_{abcd} K^{ab} T^c h^d. \tag{2}$$

Since $\delta h^{\,a} = 0$ (see Appendix B), we have that the action (1) is invariant under local translations and by construction is invariant under local Lorentz rotations and diffeomorphism. This means that the action (1) is genuinely invariant under the Poincaré group and under diffeomorphism.

The purpose of this paper is to find the teleparallel equivalent of the d-dimensional Euler classes and to show that the teleparallel equivalent of the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity action is (i) generated by dimensional continuation of the Euler characteristics associated to all the

lower even dimensions; (ii) is invariant under the Poincare group as well as diffeomorphisms.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we briefly review the foundations of the so-called teleparallel gravity theory developed in Refs. [1–5]. In Section 3, we will make a short review about the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity theory. Section 4 contains the results of the main objective of this work, namely: to find the teleparallel equivalent of the d-dimensional Euler characteristic and to show that the teleparallel equivalent of the Lovelock gravity action is generated by dimensional continuation of the Euler characteristics associated to all the lower even dimensions. In this section it is also found that the teleparallel equivalent of (i) the d-dimensional Euler characteristic is a closed form and gauge invariant; (ii) some interesting particular cases related to the choice of Lovelock lagrangian coefficients are invariant under the Poincare group as well as under diffeomorphisms. We finish in Section 5 with some final remarks and considerations on future possible developments.

II. TELEPARALLEL GRAVITY

The fundamental field of a gauge theory is the connection. Objects with a well defined behavior under local transformations are called covariant under those transformations. It is a remarkable fact that usual derivatives of such covariant objects are not themselves covariant. In order to define derivatives with a well defined tensor behavior, it is necessary to introduce connections A_μ, which behave like vectors in what concerns the space-time index, but whose non tensorial behavior in the algebraic indices just compensates the non tensoriality of ordinary derivatives.

Connections related to space-time groups, such as the Lorentz group, are called linear connections, have a direct relation with spacetime because they are defined on the bundle of linear frames, which is a constitutive part of its manifold structure. These bundles have some properties not found in the bundles related to internal gauge theories. For example its these bundles exhibits soldering, which leads to the existence of torsion for every connection [13]. Linear connections, in particular Lorentz connections, always have torsion, while internal gauge potentials do not have. It should be noted that a vanishing torsion is quite different from a non-existent or non defined torsion.

A spin connection or Lorentz connection A is a 1-form assuming values in the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group, $A = \frac{1}{2}A^{ab}J_{ab}$, where J_{ab} are the Lorentz generators. This connection

defines the covariant derivatives $D = d + A = d + \frac{1}{2}A^{ab}J_{ab}$, whose second part acts only on the algebraic, or tangent space indices. In the case of soldered bundles, a tetrad field relates Lorentz with external tensors. For example, if ϕ^a is an internal or Lorentz vector, then $\phi^\rho = h_a{}^\rho \phi^a$ will be a spacetime vector.

On the other hand, a general linear connection $\Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}$ is related to the corresponding spin connection $A^a_{b\mu}$, through an identity, sometimes called Weyl's lemma:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu h^a{}_\nu = \partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} h_\rho{}^a + A^a{}_{b\mu} h^b{}_\nu = 0, \tag{3}$$

from where

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = h_a{}^\rho \left(\partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu + A^a{}_{b\mu} h^b{}_\nu\right) \equiv h_a{}^\rho D_\mu h^a{}_\nu. \tag{4}$$

The inverse relation is, consequently,

$$A^a{}_{b\mu} = h_\nu{}^a \partial_\mu h_b{}^\nu + h_\nu{}^a \Gamma^\nu{}_{\mu\rho} h_b{}^\rho \equiv h_\nu{}^a \nabla_\mu h_b{}^\nu, \tag{5}$$

where ∇_μ is the usual covariant derivative in the connection $\Gamma^\nu{}_{\mu\rho}$, which acts on external indices only.

A. Curvature and Torsion

Curvature and torsion are properties of connections [13], not of space itself. This becomes evident if we note that many different connections are allowed to exist on the very same spacetime [4]. Of course, when restricted to the specific case of General Relativity, where only the Levi-Civita connection is present, universality of gravitation allows it to be interpreted together with the metric, as part of the spacetime definition.

The curvature and torsion tensors of the connection $A^a{}_b$ are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} R^a{}_b &= dA^a{}_b + A^a{}_c A^c{}_b, \\ T^a &= dh^a + A^a{}_c h^c. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

This means that the the curvature and torsion tensors for the connection $A^a{}_{c\nu}$ are given by

$$R^a{}_{b\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu A^a{}_{b\mu} - \partial_\mu A^a{}_{b\nu} + A^a{}_{c\nu} A^c{}_{b\mu} - A^a{}_{c\mu} A^c{}_{b\nu}, \tag{7}$$

$$T^a_{\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu h^a{}_\mu - \partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu + A^a{}_{c\nu} h^c{}_\mu - A^a{}_{c\mu} h^c{}_\nu, \tag{8}$$

which can be written also as

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\nu\mu} = h_a{}^{\rho} h^b{}_{\lambda} R^a{}_{b\nu\mu} = \partial_\nu \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\mu} - \partial_\mu \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{c\nu}\Gamma^{c}{}_{\lambda\mu} - \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{c\mu}\Gamma^{c}{}_{\lambda\nu}, \tag{9}$$

$$T^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} = h_a{}^{\rho} T^a{}_{\nu\mu} = \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu}, \tag{10}$$

$$T^{a}{}_{\nu\mu} = \Gamma^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{a}{}_{\nu\mu}, \tag{11}$$

B. Decomposition of the connection

From metricity condition we have

$$\nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\nu} g_{\beta\mu} - \Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} = 0. \tag{12}$$

Using the cyclicity of the indices and the identity $\Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\beta}_{(\mu\nu)} + \Gamma^{\beta}_{[\mu\nu]} = \Gamma^{\beta}_{(\mu\nu)} + T^{\beta}_{\mu\nu}$, where $T^{\beta}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\beta}_{[\mu\nu]}$ is the tensor torsion, we find that a general linear connection can be decomposed according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\nu} &= \Gamma^{\beta}_{(\mu\nu)} + T^{\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} = \mathring{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{\mu\nu} - T_{\nu}{}^{\beta}{}_{\mu} - T_{\mu}{}^{\beta}{}_{\nu} + T^{\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} \\ \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\beta} &= \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\beta} + K_{\mu\nu}{}^{\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\mathring{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{\mu\nu}$ is the torsionless Christoffel or levi-Civita connection, and

$$K_{\mu\nu}{}^{\beta} = T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\beta} + T^{\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu}{}^{\beta}{}_{\nu}, \tag{14}$$

is the contorsion tensor.

In terms of the spin connection $A^a{}_{b\nu}$, this decomposition takes the form

$$A^a{}_{b\nu} = \mathring{A}^a{}_{b\nu} + K^a{}_{b\nu}, \tag{15}$$

where $\mathring{A}^a{}_{b\nu}$ is the spin connection of general relativity. On the other hand, by defining the 1-form connection as $A^a{}_b = A^a{}_{b\nu} dx^\nu$, we can write

$$A^{ab} = \mathring{A}^{ab} + K^{ab}. \tag{16}$$

1. *Torsion in anholonomics coordinates*

From Eqs. (6), (92), (93), we can see that

$$T^{\,a} = -\frac{1}{2} f_{bc}{}^{a} h^b h^c + A^a_b h^b, \tag{17}$$

from where

$$T^{\ a}_{bc} = A^{a}_{\ cb} - A^{a}_{\ bc} - f^{\ a}_{bc}. \tag{18}$$

This means that

$$A^{a}_{\ bc} = \frac{1}{2}\left(T_b^{\ a}_{\ c} + f_b^{\ a}_{\ c} + T_c^{\ a}_{\ b} + f_c^{\ a}_{\ b} - T^{\ a}_{bc} - f^{\ a}_{bc}\right), \tag{19}$$

or equivalently

$$A^{a}_{\ bc} = \mathring{A}^{a}_{\ bc} + K^{a}_{\ bc}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}^{a}_{\ bc} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(f_b^{\ a}_{\ c} + f_c^{\ a}_{\ b} - f^{\ a}_{bc}\right), \\ K^{a}_{\ bc} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(T_b^{\ a}_{\ c} + T_c^{\ a}_{\ b} - T^{\ a}_{bc}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

C. Gravitational Coupling

From Appendix B we known that the full gravitational coupling prescription is composed of two parts:

(1) the first correspond to the universal, translational coupling prescription, which is represented by

$$e^{a}_{\ \mu}\partial_a\psi \longrightarrow h^{a}_{\ \mu}\partial_a\psi \tag{22}$$

(2) the second correspond to the non-universal spin coupling prescription

$$\partial_a\psi \longrightarrow \ D_a\psi. \tag{23}$$

Put together, they yield the full gravitational coupling prescription,

$$e^{a}_{\ \mu}\partial_a\psi \longrightarrow h^{a}_{\ \mu}D_a\psi \tag{24}$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$\partial_\mu\psi \longrightarrow D_\mu\psi. \tag{25}$$

It is important keep in mind that this is the gravitational coupling procedure that follows from the general covariance principle, i.e., from the strong equivalence principle (see Appendix B).

D. Connections

It is a known fact that the general covariance principle does not determine uniquely the Lorentz connection $A^{ab}{}_{\mu}$. In fact, from the point of view of the coupling procedure, the connection can be chosen freely among the many possibilities, each one characterized by a connection with different values of curvature and torsion. Due to the identity (15) we have that any one of the choices will give rise to a coupling procedure equivalent to the coupling prescription of General Relativity.

However, there is a strong constraint that must be taken into account. Considering that the source of gravitation is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor, which has ten independent components, the gravitational field equations will be constituted by a set of ten independent differential equations.

The choice of the connection is therefore restricted to not exceed ten independent components, otherwise the field equations will be unable to determine it univocally. There are only two choices that respect this constraint.

General relativity connection: The first case involves choosing a connection without torsion, i.e.,

$$A^{ab}{}_{\mu} = \mathring{A}^{ab}{}_{\mu}, \tag{26}$$

so the coupling procedure is given by

$$e^{a}{}_{\mu}\partial_{a}\psi \longrightarrow h^{a}{}_{\mu}\mathring{D}_{a}\psi, \tag{27}$$

or equivalently,

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi \longrightarrow \mathring{D}_{\mu}\psi, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathring{D}_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative corresponding to connection $\mathring{A}^{ab}{}_{\mu}$.

Since the connection $\mathring{A}^{ab}{}_{\mu}$ is completely determined by the spacetime metric, no additional degrees of freedom is introduced by this choice. In fact, the linear connection $\mathring{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu}$ corresponding to $\mathring{A}^{ab}{}_{\mu}$ is just the Christoffel connection of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\mathring{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\lambda}\left(\partial_{\nu}g_{\lambda\mu} + \partial_{\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - \partial_{\lambda}g_{\nu\mu}\right). \tag{29}$$

The gravitational theory based on such connection is general relativity.

Teleparallel connection: A second possible choice that, like in the case of general relativity, does not introduce any additional degree of freedom into the theory, is to assume

that the Lorentz connection $A^{ab}{}_{\mu}$ does not represent gravitation, but only inertial effects. This means to choose $A^{b}{}_{c\mu}$ as the inertial connection

$$\dot{A}^{b}{}_{c\mu} = \Lambda^{b}{}_{d}\partial_{\mu}\Lambda_{c}{}^{d}\,, \tag{30}$$

where the quantities related to teleparallel gravity will be denoted with a point $\dot{\cdot}$.

The gravitational theory corresponding to this choice is just teleparallel gravity. In this theory, the gravitational field is fully represented by the the gauge potential $e^{a}{}_{\mu}$, which appears as the non-trivial part of the tetrad field: $h^{a}{}_{\mu} = \delta^{a}{}_{\mu} + e^{a}{}_{\mu}$. In teleparallel gravity, therefore, Lorentz connections keep their special relativity role of representing inertial effects only. The teleparallel coupling procedure is then given by

$$e^{a}{}_{\mu}\partial_{a}\psi \longrightarrow h^{a}{}_{\mu}\ddot{D}_{a}\psi. \tag{31}$$

Alternatively, one can write

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi \longrightarrow \ddot{D}_{\mu}\psi, \tag{32}$$

where $\ddot{D}_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative corresponding to the connections $\dot{A}^{b}{}_{c\mu} - \dot{K}^{b}{}_{c\mu}$.

Due to identity (15), this coupling procedure is equivalent to the coupling prescription of general relativity. However, the gravitational theory based on the spin connection (30), although physically equivalent to general relativity is, conceptually speaking, completely different. In particular, since that connection represents inertial effects only, the gravitational field in this theory turns out to be fully represented by the translational gauge potential $e^{a}{}_{\mu}$, as it should be for a gauge theory for the translation group.

1. Curvature versus Torsion

The curvature of the teleparallel connection (30) vanishes identically

$$\dot{R}^{ab} = d\dot{A}^{ab} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{A}^{cb} = 0. \tag{33}$$

For a tetrad involving a non trivial translational gauge potential e^{a}, i.e., for $h^{a} = \dot{D}x^{a} + e^{a}$, the torsion is non-vanishing

$$\dot{T}^{a} = \dot{D}h^{a} = dh^{a} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{c}h^{c} \neq 0. \tag{34}$$

This connection can be considered a kind of "dual" of the general relativity connection, which is a connection with vanishing torsion. In fact, from (4) we can see that $\mathring{T}^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{a}{}_{\nu\mu} = \mathring{D}_{\mu} h^{a}_{\nu} - \mathring{D}_{\nu} h^{a}_{\mu}$, which can be also written as

$$\mathring{T}^{a} = \mathring{D} h^{a} = d h^{a} + \mathring{A}^{a}{}_{c} h^{c} = 0, \tag{35}$$

but no-vanishing curvature

$$\mathring{R}^{ab} = d\mathring{A}^{ab} + \mathring{A}^{a}{}_{c}\, \mathring{A}^{cb} \neq 0. \tag{36}$$

The linear connection corresponding to the spin connection $\dot{A}^{a}{}_{b\nu}$ is given by

$$\dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} = h_{a}{}^{\rho} \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{a}{}_{\nu} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{b\mu} h^{b}{}_{\nu} \right) \equiv h_{a}{}^{\rho} \dot{D}_{\mu} h^{a}{}_{\nu}, \tag{37}$$

which is the so-called Weitzenböck connection. Its definition is equivalent to the identity

$$\partial_{\mu} h^{a}{}_{\nu} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{b\mu} h^{b}{}_{\nu} - \dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} h^{a}{}_{\rho} = 0. \tag{38}$$

In the class of frames in which the spin connection $\dot{A}^{a}{}_{b\mu}$ vanishes, it becomes

$$\partial_{\mu} h^{a}{}_{\nu} - \dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} h^{a}{}_{\rho} = 0, \tag{39}$$

which is the absolute or distant parallelism condition from where teleparallel gravity got its name.

The Weitzenbock connection $\dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is related to the Levi-Civita connection $\mathring{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}$ of General Relativity by

$$\dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \mathring{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} + \dot{K}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{40}$$

In terms of it, the Weitzenböck torsion is written as

$$\dot{T}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} - \dot{\Gamma}^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{41}$$

whereas the (vanishing) Weitzenbock curvature is given by

$$\dot{R}^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\nu\mu} = \partial_{\nu} \dot{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} - \partial_{\mu} \dot{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\nu} + \dot{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu} \dot{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\mu} - \dot{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu} \dot{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\nu} = 0. \tag{42}$$

III. LANCZOS-LOVELOCK GRAVITY THEORY

The simplest action for a gravitational theory satisfying the requirement to be invariant under local Lorentz transformations and general coordinate transformations can be written in terms of the vielbein e^a and the spin connection ω^{ab} which enters through the curvature R^{ab}. To find the mentioned action we remember that the differential forms are invariant under general transformations of coordinates and under transformations of Lorentz. So we can postulate that in n dimensions the searched Lagrangian is given by an n-form, which when integrated into the variety leads us to action,

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}_n,$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n} R^{a_1 a_2} \cdots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots e^{a_n}$$

where we can see that $\mathcal{L}_n$ can be understood or interpreted as a Euler class dimensionally continued

A. The Euler classes

Let M be a $2p$-dimensional orientable Riemannian manifold and let TM be the tangent bundle of M. We denote the curvature by R^{ab}. It is always possible to reduce the structure group of TM down to $SO(2p)$ by employing an orthonormal frame. If M is a $2p$-dimensional surface, then the Euler class is given by in terms of curvature as

$$e_{2p}(M) \sim \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2p}} R^{a_1 a_2} \cdots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}}$$

where $\varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2p}}$ are invariant tensors under the Lorentz rotation group $SO(2p)$.

If $p = 1$, the Euler class is given by

$$e_2(M) \sim \varepsilon_{ab} R^{ab}, \tag{43}$$

where ε_{ab} are invariant tensors under the Lorentz rotation group $SO(2)$.

In a four-dimensional M manifold the Euler class has the form

$$e_4(M) \sim \varepsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} R^{cd}, \tag{44}$$

where ε_{abcd} are invariant tensors under the Lorentz rotation group $SO(4)$.

In the case that M is a six-dimensional manifold we have that the Euler class is given by

$$e_4(M) \sim \varepsilon_{abcdef} R^{ab} R^{cd} R^{ef}. \tag{45}$$

B. Lanczos Lovelock action

Theorem [Lovelock, 1971]: The most general action for the metric satisfying the criteria of general covariance and second-order field equations for $d > 4$ is a polynomial of degree $[d/2]$ in the curvature known as the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity theory (LL) [14, 15]. The LL lagrangian in a d-dimensional Riemannian manifold can be defined as a linear combination of the dimensional continuation of all the Euler classes of dimension $2p < d$ [16, 17]:

$$S = \int \sum_{p=0}^{[d/2]} \alpha_p L^{(p)}, \tag{46}$$

where α_p are arbitrary constants and

$$L_p = \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots\cdots a_d} R^{a_1 a_2} \cdots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots e^{a_d} \tag{47}$$

with $R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a_c \omega^{cb}$. The expression (46) can be used both for even and for odd dimensions.

The large number of dimensionful constants in the LL theory α_p, $p = 0, 1, \cdots, [d/2]$, which are not fixed from first principles, contrast with the two constants of the Einstein-Hilbert action.

In Ref. [18] it was found that these parameters can be fixed in terms of the gravitational and the cosmological constants, and that the action in odd dimensions can be formulated as a gauge theory of the AdS group, and in a particular case, as a gauge theory of the Poincaré group. This means that the action is invariant not only under standard local Lorentz rotations $\delta e^a = \kappa^a_b e^b$; $\delta\omega^{ab} = -D\kappa^{ab}$, but also under local AdS boost $\delta e^a = D\rho^a$; $\delta\omega^{ab} = \frac{1}{l^2}(\rho^a e^b - \rho^b e^a)$, where l is a length parameter. They also show that this situation is not possible in even dimensions where the action is invariant only under local Lorentz rotations in the same way as is the Einstein-Hilbert action.

C. The local AdS Chern-Simons and Born-Infeld like gravity

The LL action is a polynomial of degree $[d/2]$ in curvature, which can be written in terms of the Riemann curvature and the vielbein e^a in the form (46),(47). In first order formalism, the LL action is regarded as a functional of the vielbein and spin connection, and the corresponding field equations obtained by varying with respect to e^a and ω^{ab} read [18]

$$\varepsilon_a = \sum_{p=0}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_p (d-2p) \varepsilon_a^p = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ab} = \sum_{p=1}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_p p (d-2p) \varepsilon_{ab}^p = 0 \tag{49}$$

where we have defined

$$\varepsilon_a^p = \varepsilon_{ab_1b_2\cdots\cdots b_{d-1}} R^{b_1b_2} \cdots$$

$$\cdots R^{b_{2p-1}b_{2p}} e^{b_{2p+1}} \cdots \cdot e^{b_{d-1}} \tag{50}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ab}^p = \varepsilon_{aba_3\cdots\cdots a_d} R^{a_3a_4} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \cdot R^{a_{2p-1}a_{2p}} T^{a_{2p+1}} e^{a_{2p+2}} \cdots \cdot e^{a_d}. \tag{51}$$

Here $T^a = de^a + \omega^a{}_b e^b$ is the torsion 2-form. Using the Bianchi identity one finds [18]

$$D\varepsilon_a = \sum_{p=1}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_{p-1} (d-2p+2)(d-2p+1) e^b \varepsilon_{ba}^p. \tag{52}$$

Moreover

$$e^b \varepsilon_{ba} = \sum_{p=1}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_p p (d-2p) e^b \varepsilon_{ba}^p. \tag{53}$$

From (52) and (53) one finds for $d = 2n-1$

$$\alpha_p = \alpha_0 \frac{(2n-1)(2\gamma)^p}{(2n-2p-1)} \binom{n-1}{p}, \qquad \alpha_0 = \frac{\kappa}{dl^{d-1}},$$

$$\gamma = -sign(\Lambda) \frac{l^2}{2}, \tag{54}$$

where for any dimensions, l is a length parameter related to the cosmological constant by $\Lambda = \pm(d-1)(d-2)/2l^2$. With these coefficients, the LL action is invariant under local Lorentz rotations and under local AdS boosts.

For $d = 2n$ it is necessary to write equation (52) in the form [18]

$$D\varepsilon_a = T^a \sum_{p=1}^{[n-1]} 2\alpha_{p-1}(n-p+1)\mathcal{T}^p_{ab} - \sum_{p=1}^{[n-1]} 4\alpha_{p-1}(n-p+1)(n-p)e^b\varepsilon^p_{ba} \tag{55}$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta R^{ab}} = \sum_{p=1}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_p p \mathcal{T}^p_{ab}, \tag{56}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}^p_{ab} = \varepsilon_{aba_3\cdots a_d} R^{a_3a_4}\cdots \cdots R^{a_{2p-1}a_{2p}} T^{a_{2p+1}} e^{a_{2p+2}}\cdots e^{a_d}. \tag{57}$$

The comparison between (53) and (55) leads to [18]

$$\alpha_p = \alpha_0 (2\gamma)^p \binom{n}{p}. \tag{58}$$

With these coefficients the LL action, in the same way as the Einstein-Hilbert action, is invariant only under local Lorentz rotations.

D. Theories described by a generalized action

In Ref. [19] was found a class of gravitational theories described by the action

$$S = \int \sum \alpha_p L^{(p)} = \kappa \int \sum_{p=0}^{k} C^k_p L^{(p)}, \tag{59}$$

where

$$\alpha_p = \kappa C^k_p = \begin{cases} \kappa \frac{\alpha_0 d (2\gamma)^p}{(d-2p)} \binom{k}{p}, & p \leq k, \\ 0, & p > k, \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

with $1 \leq k \leq [(d-1)/2]$ and where L_p is given by

$$L_p = \varepsilon_{a_1a_2\cdots\cdots a_d} R^{a_1a_2}\cdots R^{a_{2p-1}a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}}\cdots e^{a_d} \tag{61}$$

where $R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c\omega^{cb}$ is the curvarure 2-form and e^a is the vielbein 1-form. For a given dimension d, the α_p coefficients give rise to a family of inequivalent theories, labeled by the integer $k \in \{1\cdots[(d-1)/2]\}$ which represents the highest power of curvature in the

lagrangian. This set of theories possees only two fundamental constants, κ and l, related to the gravitational constant G and the cosmological constant Λ [18]. For $k = 1$, the Einstein-Hilbert action is recovered, while for the largest values of k, that is $k = [(d-1)/2]$, Born-Infeld and Chern-Simons theories are obtained. These three cases exhaust the different possibilities up to six dimensions, and new interesting cases arise for $d \geq 7$. For instance, the case with $k = 2$, which is described by the action [19]

$$S_2 = \kappa \int \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d} \Big(\frac{l^{-4}}{d} e^{a_1} \cdots e^{a_d} + \frac{2l^{-2}}{d-2} R^{a_1 a_2} e^{a_3} \cdots e^{a_d} + \frac{1}{d-4} R^{a_1 a_2} R^{a_3 a_4} e^{a_5} \cdots e^{a_d} \Big) \tag{62}$$

exists only for $d > 4$: in five dimensions this theory is equivalent to Chern-Simons; for $d = 6$ it is equivalent to Born-Infeld and for $d = 7$ and up, if defines a new class of theories.

At the end of the range, $k = [(d-1)/2]$, even and odd dimensions must be distinguished. When $d = 2n - 1$, the maximum value of k is $n - 1$, and the corresponding lagrangian of the action

$$S_3 = \int \sum_{p=0}^{[d/2]} \frac{\kappa}{d-2p} \binom{n-1}{p} l^{2p-d+1} \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots\cdots a_d} R^{a_1 a_2} \cdots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots e^{a_d} \tag{63}$$

is a Chern-Simons $(2n-1)$-form. This action [18] is invariant not only under standard local Lorentz rotations

$$\delta e^a = \kappa^a{}_b e^b, \quad \delta \omega^{ab} = -D\kappa^{ab}, \tag{64}$$

but also under a local AdS boost

$$\delta e^a = D\rho^a, \quad \delta \omega^{ab} = \frac{1}{l^2} \left(\rho^a e^b - \rho^b e^a \right). \tag{65}$$

For $d = 2n$ and $k = n - 1$, the action is given by

$$S = \int \sum_{p=0}^{[d/2]} \frac{\kappa}{2n} \binom{n}{p} l^{2p-d+1} \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots\cdots a_d} R^{a_1 a_2} \cdots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots e^{a_d}. \tag{66}$$

This action [18] is invariant under standard local Lorentz rotations but it is not invariant under local AdS boosts.

IV. TELEPARALLEL EQUIVALENT OF EULER CLASSES

In this section we will consider the teleparallel equivalent of Euler classes in different dimensions. From (16), (33), (34), (35), (36) we can see that introducing (16) into (33) we find

$$\dot{R}^{ab} = \left(d\mathring{A}^{ab} + \mathring{A}^{a}{}_{c}\mathring{A}^{cb}\right) + \left(d\dot{K}^{ab} + \mathring{A}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb} + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\mathring{A}^{cb}\right) + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb}, \tag{67}$$

so that

$$\dot{R}^{ab} = \mathring{R}^{ab} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{ab} + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb}. \tag{68}$$

On the other hand, introducing (15) into (68) we have

$$\mathring{R}^{a}_{b} = \left(d\dot{A}^{ab} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{A}^{cb}\right) - \left(d\dot{K}^{ab} + \dot{A}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb} + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{A}^{cb}\right) + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb},$$

that is

$$\dot{R}^{ab} = \mathring{R}^{ab} + \dot{D}\dot{K}^{ab} - \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb}. \tag{69}$$

The equations (68) (69) will be used to obtain the teleparallel equivalent of the so-called Euler classes. For this we must remember that the curvature corresponding to the connection $\dot{A}^{ab}$ is zero. From (68) we see that

$$\mathring{R}^{ab} = -\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{ab} - \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb} \tag{70}$$

$$= -\dot{D}\dot{K}^{ab} + \dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb}. \tag{71}$$

We know that if M is a $2n$-dimensional surface, then the Euler class for the Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$e(M) \sim \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n}} \mathring{R}^{a_1 a_2} \mathring{R}^{a_3 a_4} \cdots \mathring{R}^{a_{2n-1} a_{2n}} \tag{72}$$

From (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2n}(M) \sim \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n}} (-1)^n \left(\dot{K}^{a_1}_{c}\dot{K}^{c a_2} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1 a_2}\right)\left(\dot{K}^{a_3}_{c}\dot{K}^{c a_4} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3 a_4}\right)\cdots \\ \cdots \left(\dot{K}^{a_{2n-1}}_{\quad c_{2n-1}}\dot{K}^{c_{2n-1} a_{2n}} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_{2n-1} a_{2n}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

which can be written in abbreviated notation in the following way: $\varepsilon_{ab}\left(\dot{K}^{a}{}_{c}\dot{K}^{cb} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{ab}\right) = \dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}$. So that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2n}(M) &\sim (-1)^n \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)\left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)\cdots\left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right) \\ &= (-1)^n \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^n. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Using the binomial theorem

$$(X+Y)^n = \sum_j \binom{n}{j} X^{\,n-j} \wedge Y^j$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2n}(M) &\sim (-1)^n \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{n-j} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^j, \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where $\dot{K}\dot{K} \equiv \dot{K}^a{}_c \dot{K}^{cb}$ and $\mathring{D}\dot{K} \equiv \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{ab}$.

Consider now some particular cases .

A. Euler Class in two dimensions

This case corresponds to the $n = 1$ case of (75). In fact, for $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_2(M) &\sim (-1)^1 \binom{1}{0} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^1 \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^0 + (-1)^1 \binom{1}{1} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{1-1} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^1 \\ &= -\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right) - \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right) \\ &= -\varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\left[d\dot{K}^{a_1a_2} + \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}\right] = -\varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\Gamma^{a_1a_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where $\Gamma^{a_1a_2} = d\dot{K}^{a_1a_2} + \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}$. So that, we can write

$$e_2(M) = -\langle\Gamma\rangle = -\varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\Gamma^{a_1a_2} = -\left\langle d\dot{K} + \dot{K}\dot{K}\right\rangle \tag{77}$$

B. Euler Class in four dimensions

We are now in the case $n = 2$ of (75). In fact, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_4(M) &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{2} (-1)^2 \binom{2}{j} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{2-j} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^j \\ &= \binom{2}{0} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{2-0} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^0 + \binom{2}{1} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{2-1} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^1 \\ &+ \binom{2}{2} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{2-2} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^2 \\ &= \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} + 2\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} + \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Since

$$\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} = \mathring{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\right) + \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}^2\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}$$
$$\mathring{D}^2\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} = \mathring{R}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} - \mathring{R}^{a_4}{}_{c_4}\dot{K}^{c_4a_3},$$

we find

$$\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} = \mathring{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\right) + 2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{R}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4},$$

so that

$$e_4(M) \sim \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathring{R}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{R}^{a_3a_4} = d\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\right) + 2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{R}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\left(2\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} + \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right),$$

expression that can be written as,

$$\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\left(2\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right) = \mathring{D}\left(2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right) + 4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4},$$

where we have used

$$\mathring{D}\left(2\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right) = 2\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} - 2\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} + 2\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}.$$

This means

$$e_4(M) \sim \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathring{R}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{R}^{a_3a_4} = d\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} + 2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right)$$
$$+ 2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{R}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} + 4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}$$
$$+ \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\left(\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\right).$$

Given that

$$\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} = \dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} - 2\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4},$$
$$\mathring{R}^{a_1a_2} = -\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2} + \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2},$$

we can write

$$\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} + 2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}$$
$$= \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4},$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{\dot{R}}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}+4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\\
&=2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\left(-\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}+\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{d_3}\dot{K}^{d_3}{}_{c_3}\right)\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\\
&+4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\left(\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}-2\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{d_3}\dot{K}^{d_3}{}_{c_3}\right)\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\\
&=2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{d_3}\dot{K}^{d_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_4(M)&\sim\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\mathring{\dot{R}}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{\dot{R}}^{a_3a_4}\\
&=d\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\right)+2\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\dot{D}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\\
&+\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}
$$

C. Euler Class in six dimensions

This case corresponds to the $n=3$ case of (75). In fact, for $n=3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_6(M)&\sim\sum_{j=0}^{3}(-1)^3\binom{3}{j}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{3-j}\wedge\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{j}\\
&=-\binom{3}{0}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{3-0}\wedge\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{0}-\binom{3}{1}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{3-1}\wedge\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{1}\\
&-\binom{3}{2}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{3-2}\wedge\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{2}-\binom{3}{3}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{3-3}\wedge\left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{3}\\
&=-\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\dot{K}^{a_5}{}_{c_5}\dot{K}^{c_5a_6}-3\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6}\\
&-3\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6}-\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4}\mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6}.
\end{aligned}
$$

D. Euler Class in eight dimensions

If $n=4$ in (75) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_8(M) \sim & \sum_{j=0}^{4} (-1)^4 \binom{4}{j} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-j} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{j} \\
& = \binom{4}{0} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-0} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{0} + \binom{4}{1} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-1} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{1} \\
& + \binom{4}{2} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-2} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{2} + \binom{4}{3} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-3} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{3} \\
& + \binom{4}{4} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{4-4} \wedge \left(\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{4} \\
& = \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8} \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1} \dot{K}^{c_1a_2} \dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3} \dot{K}^{c_3a_4} \dot{K}^{a_5}{}_{c_5} \dot{K}^{c_5a_6} \dot{K}^{a_7}{}_{c_7} \dot{K}^{c_7a_8} \\
& + 4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8} \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1} \dot{K}^{c_1a_2} \dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3} \dot{K}^{c_3a_4} \dot{K}^{a_5}{}_{c_5} \dot{K}^{c_5a_6} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_7a_8} \\
& + 6\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8} \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1} \dot{K}^{c_1a_2} \dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3} \dot{K}^{c_3a_4} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_7a_8} \\
& + 4\varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8} \dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1} \dot{K}^{c_1a_2} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_7a_8} \\
& + \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5a_6a_7a_8} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_3a_4} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_5a_6} \mathring{D}\dot{K}^{a_7a_8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem: The teleparallel equivalent of Euler Classes are closed forms.

Proof: First consider the case of the teleparallel equivalent of Euler class in two dimensions, which is given by

$$
e_2(M) \sim -\langle \Gamma \rangle = -\varepsilon_{a_1a_2} \Gamma^{a_1a_2} = -\left\langle d\dot{K} + \dot{K}\dot{K} \right\rangle,
$$

where $\langle\rangle$ denotes the symmetrized trace and where $\Gamma^{a_1a_2} = \mathring{D}K^{a_1a_2} + \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}$, or $\Gamma^{a_1a_2} = DK^{a_1a_2} - \left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}$. So that, $\Gamma = \varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\Gamma^{a_1a_2} = \mathring{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}\right) + \varepsilon_{a_1a_2}\left(KK\right)^{a_1a_2} = \left\langle d\dot{K} + \dot{K}\dot{K} \right\rangle$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\langle\Gamma\rangle &= d\left\langle d\dot{K} + \dot{K}\dot{K} \right\rangle = \left\langle d\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}\right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle d\dot{K}\dot{K} - \dot{K}d\dot{K} \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\Gamma - \dot{K}\dot{K}\right)\dot{K} - \dot{K}\left(\Gamma - \dot{K}\dot{K}\right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Gamma\dot{K} - \dot{K}\dot{K}\dot{K} - \dot{K}\Gamma + \dot{K}\dot{K}\dot{K} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Gamma\dot{K} - \dot{K}\Gamma \right\rangle = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used a property of the symmetric trace.

Let us now consider the teleparallel equivalent for Euler's class in $2n$ dimensions, which is given by

$$e_{2n}(M) \sim (-1)^n \left\langle \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)\left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)\cdots\left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)\right\rangle$$
$$= (-1)^n \left\langle \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^n \right\rangle = (-1)^n \langle \Gamma^n \rangle . \tag{80}$$

This form is a closed form. In fact,

$$d\langle \Gamma^n \rangle = \left\langle n\Gamma^{n-1} d\Gamma \right\rangle = \left\langle n\Gamma^{n-1}\left(\Gamma\dot{K} - \dot{K}\Gamma\right)\right\rangle$$
$$= n\left\langle \Gamma^n\dot{K} - \Gamma^{n-1}\dot{K}\Gamma \right\rangle = 0.$$

So that

$$de_{2n}(M) = 0. \tag{81}$$

Let us now show that (80) is gauge invariant. In fact, the variation of $e_{2n}(M)$ is given by

$$\delta e_{2n}(M) \sim (-1)^n \langle \delta\Gamma^n \rangle = (-1)^n \left\langle \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^n \right\rangle$$
$$= (-1)^n n \left\langle \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{n-1} \right\rangle \delta\left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)$$
$$= (-1)^n n \left\langle \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{n-1} \right\rangle \left(2\dot{K}\delta\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\delta\dot{K}\right).$$

Since, $\dot{T}^{\,a} = \dot{K}^a{}_c h^c$, $\dot{K}^a{}_c = \dot{T}^{\,a} h_c$, $\dot{T}^{\,a} = \dot{D}h^a$ and $\delta h^a = 0$, we have $\delta\dot{T}^{\,a} = 0$. So that $\delta\dot{K}^a{}_c = 0$ and therefore

$$\delta e_{2n}(M) \sim (-1)^n \delta\Gamma^n = 0. \tag{82}$$

This means that the teleparallel equivalent of the Euler topological invariant

$$\mathcal{P}_{2n} = \langle \Gamma^n \rangle,$$

is a 2n-form satisfying the condition $d\mathcal{P}_{2n} = 0$. According to the Poincaré lemma, we can write $\mathcal{P}_{2n} = d\mathcal{C}_{2n-1}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{2n-1}$ is a $(2n-1)$-form that we will call the teleparallel equivalent of the Chern- Simons form.

V. TELEPARALLEL EQUIVALENT OF LANCZOS-LOVELOCK ACTION

We have seen that the Lanczos-Lovelock action is given by a linear combination of all the dimensionally continued Euler classes of dimension $2p < d$. Let us now consider the construction of the teleparallel equivalent of the $2p$-dimensional Euler classes dimensionally continued to $d > 2p$ dimensions.

(a) The two-dimensional Euler class dimensionally continued to d dimensions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2dc}(M) \equiv L^{(1)} &\sim \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_d}\dot{K}^{a_1}{}_{c_1}\dot{K}^{c_1a_2}h^{a_3}\cdots\cdot h^{a_d} \\ &= \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_d}\left(\dot{K}^2\right)^{a_1a_2}h^{a_3}\cdots\cdot h^{a_d} \end{aligned}$$

(b) For the four-dimensional Euler class dimensionally continued to d dimensions we have

$$e_{4dc}(M) \equiv L^{(2)} \sim \varepsilon_{a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_d}\left(2\dot{K}^{a_1a_2}D\dot{K}^{a_3}{}_{c_3}\dot{K}^{c_3a_4} + \left(\dot{K}^2\right)^{a_1a_2}\left(\dot{K}^2\right)^{a_3a_4}\right)h^{a_5}\cdots\cdot h^{d}$$

(c) The $2p$-dimensional Euler class dimensionally continued to d dimensions is similarly given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2pdc}(M) \equiv L^{(p)} \sim (-1)^p\,\varepsilon_{a_1a_2\cdots a_d}&\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_3a_4}\cdot\cdot \\ \cdots&\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_{2p-1}a_{2p}}h^{a_{2p+1}}\cdots\cdot h^{d} \end{aligned}$$

Teleparallel equivalent of Lovelock gravity action: we define the teleparallel equivalent of the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity action by a linear combination of dimensionally continued Euler classes. This action can be written in the form

$$S = \int \sum_{p=0}^{\left[\frac{D}{2}\right]} \alpha_p L^{(p)}, \tag{83}$$

where

$$L^{(p)} \sim (-1)^p\,\varepsilon_{a_1a_2\cdots a_d}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_1a_2}\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_3a_4}\cdots\cdot\left(\dot{K}\dot{K}+\mathring{D}\dot{K}\right)^{a_{2p-1}a_{2p}}h^{a_{2p+1}}\cdots\cdot h^{d}, \tag{84}$$

and $[(d/2)]$ is the integer part of $(d/2)$. The coefficients α_p, with $p = 0, 1, \cdots, [d/2]$, are arbitrary real constants that are not fixed from first principles.

From (83, 84) we can see that: (i) for $p = 1$ we obtain the equations (30) and (23) of references [21, 22]; (ii) for $p = 2$ we obtain the results given by the equations (47) and (35) of references [21] and [22]; and (iii) for $p = p$ we obtain the result given by the equation (54) of Ref. [22] when we replace $\mathring{D}\dot{K}$ by $\dot{D}\dot{K} - 2\dot{K}\dot{K}$.

On the other hand, following the same produce it is possible to obtain the teleparallel equivalent of the actions (62, 63, 66) introduced in Ref. [19]. In fact, for the action (62) we find

$$S_2 = \kappa \int \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d} (\frac{l^{-4}}{d} e^{a_1} \cdots e^{a_d} + \frac{2l^{-2}}{d-2} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_1 a_2} h^{a_3} \cdots h^{a_d} + \frac{1}{d-4} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_1 a_2} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_3 a_4} h^{a_5} \cdots h^{a_d}), \tag{85}$$

which exists only for $d > 4$.

For the action (63) we have

$$S = \int \sum_{p=0}^{[d/2]} \frac{\kappa}{d-2p} \binom{n-1}{p} l^{2p-d+1} \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2 \cdots \cdots a_d} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_1 a_2} \cdots \cdots \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} h^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots h^{a_d} \tag{86}$$

Finally, for the action (66) the parallel equivalent is given by

$$S = \int \sum_{p=0}^{[d/2]} \frac{\kappa}{2n} \binom{n}{p} l^{2p-d+1} \varepsilon^{a_1 a_2}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots \cdots a_d} \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_1 a_2} \cdots \cdots \left(\dot{K}\dot{K} + \mathring{D}\dot{K} \right)^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} h^{a_{2p+1}} \cdots h^{a_d}. \tag{87}$$

From (82) we can see that the actions (83, 85, 86, 87) are invariant under gauge translations. This means that these actions are invariants under Poincaré group and diffeomorphism.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this article we have found that the teleparallel equivalent of the d-dimensional Euler classes and then it is shown that the teleparallel equivalent of the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity action is generated by dimensional continuation of the Euler characteristics associated to all the lower even dimensions, which are invariant under the Poincare group as well as under diffeomorphisms.

Acknowledgement 1 *This work was supported in part by FONDECYT grants 1130653 and 1150719 from the Government of Chile. One of the authors (NA) was suported by grants 22140902 from CONICYT (National Commission for Scientific and Technological Re-search, spanish initials) and from Universidad de Concepción, Chile.*

[1] A. Einstein, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl., 217 (1928); 224 (1928).

[2] A. Unzicker and T. Case, arXiv: physics/0503046.

[3] V.C. de Andrade and J.G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. D56, 4689 (1997).

[4] R. Aldrovandi and J.G. Pereira, "An Introduction to Geometrical Physics", World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.

[5] V.C. de Andrade, L.C.T Guillen and J.G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. Lett 84, 4533 (2000); Phys. Rev. D64, 027502 (2001).

[6] P. Salgado, Il Nuovo Cimento 121 B (2006) 433.

[7] P. Salgado, G. Rubilar, J. Crisóstomo, S. del Campo. Eur. Phys. J. C. 44, 587-590 (2005).

[8] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 1597.

[9] T.W.B. Kibble, J. Math. Phys. 2 (1961) 212.

[10] C. Moller, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Skr. 1 (1961) 1.

[11] C. Pellegreni and J. Plebanski, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Skr. 2 (1962) 1.

[12] K. Hayashi and T. Nakano, Prog. Theor. Phys. 38 (1967) 491.

[13] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Di erential Geometry, 2nd edition (Wiley-Intersciense, New York, 1996).

[14] C. Lanczos, Ann. Math**. 39** (1938) 842.

[15] D. Lovelock, J. Math. Phys**. 12** (1971) 498.

[16] B. Zumino, Phys. Rep**. 137** (1986) 109.

[17] C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Class. and Quantum Grav**. 4** (1987) L125.

[18] R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, Class. Quantum Grav**. 17** (2000)4451.

[19] J. Crisostomo, R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000)084013

[20] J. W.Maluf, Ann. Phys. 525 (2013) 339

[21] G. Kofinas and E.N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 084044

[22] P.A. Gonzáles, Y. Vásquez, Phys. D 92 (2015) 124023)

[23] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, New York, 1972).

[24] D. W. Sciama, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 463 (1964)

[25] R. A. Mosna and J. G. Pereira, Gen. Rel. Grav. 36, 2525 (2004)

VII. APPENDIX A: LINEAR FRAMES AND TETRADS

We are going to use the greek alphabet $(\mu, \nu, \rho, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ to denote indices related to spacetime, and the first half of the latin alphabet $(a, b, c, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ to denote indices related to the tangent space, a Minkowski spacetime whose Lorentz metric is assumed to have the form $\eta_{ab} = diag\,(+1, -1, -1, -1)$.

The middle letters of the latin alphabet $(i, j, k, \cdots = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ will be reserved for space indices. A general spacetime is a 4-dimensional differential manifold $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, whose tangent space is, at any point, a Minkowski spacetime.

Spacetime coordinates will be denoted by $\{x^\mu\}$, whereas tangent space coordinates will be denoted by $\{x^a\}$. Such coordinate systems determine, on their domains of definition, local bases for vector fields, formed by the sets of gradients $\{\partial_\mu\}$ and $\{\partial_a\}$, as well as the dual bases $\{dx^\mu\}$ and $\{dx^a\}$ for 1-forms fields.

A holonomic base (or coordinate base) like $\{e_a\} = \{\partial_a\}$, related to a coordinate system, is a very particular case of a linear base. Notice that, on a general manifold, vector fields are (like coordinate systems) only locally defined.

A frame field provides, at each point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, a base for the vectors on the tangent space $T_p\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Of course, on the common domains they are defined, the members of a base can be written in terms of the members of the other. For example, $e_a = e_a{}^\mu \partial_\mu$ and $e^a = e^a{}_\mu dx^\mu$ and conversely $\partial_\mu = e^a{}_\mu e_a$ and $dx^\mu = e_a{}^\mu e^a$.

Consider now the spacetime metric $\mathbf{g}$, with components $g_{\mu\nu}$, in some dual holonomic base $\{dx^\mu\}$: $\mathbf{g} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$. A tetrad field $h_a = h_a{}^\mu \partial_\mu$ will be a linear base which relates $\mathbf{g}$ to the tangent space metric $\eta = \eta_{ab} dx^a \otimes dx^b = \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ by $\eta_{ab} = g\,(h_a, h_b) = g_{\mu\nu} h_a{}^\mu h_b{}^\nu$.

The components of the dual base members $h^a = h^a{}_\nu dx^\nu$ satisfy

$$h^a{}_\mu h_a{}^\nu = \delta^\nu_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad h^a{}_\mu h_b{}^\mu = \delta^a_b \tag{88}$$

so that $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} h^a{}_\mu h^b{}_\nu$. Since

$$g = \det\,(g_{\mu\nu}) = \det\left(\eta_{ab} h^a{}_\mu h^b{}_\nu\right), \tag{89}$$

we can write

$$h = \det\left(h^{a}{}_{\mu}\right) = \sqrt{-g}. \tag{90}$$

Anholonomy is the property by which a differential form is not the differential of anything, or of a vector field which is not a gradient of anything. Consider a dual base h^a such that $dh^a \neq 0$, that is, not formed by differentials. Applying the anholonomic 1-forms h^a to ∂_μ, we obtain, $h^{a}{}_{\mu} = h^a\left(\partial_\mu\right)$, which lead to the components of each $h^a = h^{a}{}_{\mu}dx^\mu$ along dx^μ.

An anholonomic basis (or non-coordinate basis) $\{h_a\}$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$[h_a, h_b] = f^{c}_{ab}h_c \tag{91}$$

where f^{c}_{ab} are the so-called structure constans or coefficients of anholonomy.

The dual expression of the commutation relation above is the so called Cartan structure equation

$$dh^c = -\frac{1}{2}f^{c}_{ab}h^a \wedge h^b = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu h^{c}{}_{\nu} - \partial_\nu h^{c}{}_{\mu}\right)dx^\mu dx^\nu. \tag{92}$$

The structure coeffcients represent the curls of the base members:

$$f^{\ c}_{ab} = h_a{}^{\mu}h_b{}^{\nu}\left(\partial_\mu h^{c}{}_{\nu} - \partial_\nu h^{c}{}_{\mu}\right). \tag{93}$$

Notice that when $f^{c}_{ab} = 0$ we have $dh^a = 0$. This means that h^a is a closed differential form.

In the presence of gravitation, f^{c}_{ab} includes both inertial and gravitational effects. In this case, the spacetime metric represents a general (pseudo) riemannian spacetime. On the other hand, in absence of gravitation, the anholonomy of the frames is entirely related to the inertial forces present in those frames. In this case, $h^{a}{}_{\mu}$ becomes trivial and $g_{\mu\nu}$ turns out to represent the Minkowski metric in a general coordinate system. In this case, the $h^{a}{}_{\mu}$ are called holonomic frames.

VIII. APPENDIX B

A. General Covariance Principle

The general covariance principle [23] states that a valid equation in special relativity can still be valid in the presence of gravitation if it is generally covariant, i.e., if it preserves its

shape under general coordinate transformations. To make an equation generally covariant, it is necessary to introduce a connection which, in principle, is related only to the inertial properties of the coordinate system.

In the case that only transformations of coordinates are involved, then a vacuum connection is enough, that is to say with null curvature and zero torsion. The use of the equivalence between inertial and gravitational effects allows replacing the (vacuum) connection with a connection that represents a true gravitational field.

The important thing here is to keep in mind that: the equations have the same form for both empty and non-empty connections. This means that the valid equations in the presence of gravitation are obtained from the corresponding valid equations in special relativity.

This means that the principle of general covariance can be understood as an active version of the principle of equivalence in the sense that, by making a special-relativistic equation covariant and then using the strong equivalence principle, it is possible to obtain the form of such equation in the presence of gravitation.

On the other hand, the usual form of the equivalence principle can be interpreted as the passive version of this principle: the special relativistic equation must be recovered in a locally inertial reference system.

It should be emphasized that general covariance by itself is empty of physical content, in the sense that any equation can be made generally covariant. Only when the local equivalence between inertial and gravitational effects is used, and the compensating term is re-interpreted as representing a true gravitational field, the general covariance principle can be seen as an active version of the principle of strong equivalence [24].

The description we have made up to now of the covariance principle is known as the holonomic version of this fundamental principle. An alternative and more general version of the general covariance principle can be obtained using non-holonomic frames. The fundamental difference between the two versions is that, instead of requiring an equation to be covariant under a general coordinate transformation (holonomic frames), in the anholonomic-frame version the equation is required to be covariant under a local Lorentz transformation of the frame. The physical content of this version is the same as that of the holonomic version [25].

The non-holonomic (non-coordinate) version of the general covariance principle is more general than its holonomic (coordinate) version, because the non-holonomic version is valid for both integer spin fields and half-integer spin fields.

The importance of the general covariance principle lies in the fact that this principle defines in a natural way a Lorentz covariant derivative, and therefore also defines a gravitational coupling procedure. The procedure for obtaining the coupling consists of two steps.

- The first step is to pass to a general anholonomic frame, where inertial effects appear in the form of a compensating term, where the inertial effects, which appear in the form of an empty Lorentz connection, are present.
- The second step is to use the strong equivalence principle to replace the inertial effects given by an empty connection by a connection that represents a true gravitational field. This gives rise to the process of gravitational coupling.

B. Coupling Procedure

In gauge theories, the coupling prescription amounts to replace ordinary derivatives by covariant derivatives involving a connection. As an example, let us consider a gauge theory for the unitary group $U(1)$. Under an infinitesimal gauge transformation with parameter $\alpha = \alpha(x)$, a spinor field changes according to $\delta\psi = \psi' - \psi \approx i\alpha\psi$. The ordinary derivative $\partial_\mu\psi$ transform under $U(1)$ as $\delta\left(\partial_\mu\psi\right) = i\alpha\partial_\mu\psi + i\left(\partial_\mu\alpha\right)\psi$. This means does not transform covariantly, i.e., does not transform as a field.

In order to recover the covariance, that is to say that the field derivative transforms as a field, it is necessary to introduce a gauge potential A_μ, which is a connection that takes values in the Lie algebra of the gauge group $U(1)$.

The covariant derivative given by

$$D_\mu\psi = \partial_\mu\psi + iA_\mu\psi, \tag{94}$$

transforms as

$$\delta\left(D_\mu\psi\right) = i\alpha\left(D_\mu\psi\right), \tag{95}$$

i.e., transform as a field provided that the gauge potential transforms as an abelian connection, i.e., as

$$\delta A_\mu = -\partial_\mu\alpha. \tag{96}$$

This tells us that the coupling procedure consists of replacing the ordinary derivative with a covariant derivative

$$\partial_\mu\psi \longrightarrow D_\mu\psi. \tag{97}$$

On the other hand, due to the fact that gravitation is not a background independent theory, the gravitational coupling procedure has two distinct parts.

- The first part is to replace the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ by a general pseudoriemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ representing a gravitational field: $\eta_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$. This part is universal in the sense that it affects equally all matter fields. This follows naturally from the requirement of covariance under space-time translations.

- The second part is related to the coupling of the spin of the matter fields to the gravitational field, and is related to the requirement of covariance under Lorentz transformations. The coupling appears in the form $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow D_\mu$, where D_μ is a Lorentz covariant derivative. Obviously this part of the coupling is not universal in the sense that it depends on the spin content of each field.

  Let us consider these two coupling procedures separately.

Translational Coupling: Under an infinitesimal gauge translation, the field ψ transforms according

$$\delta\psi = \psi' - \psi = \varepsilon\psi = \varepsilon^a \partial_a \psi,$$

and its ordinary derivative $\partial_\mu\psi$ transform as

$$\delta\left(\partial_\mu\psi\right) = \varepsilon^a \partial_a \left(\partial_\mu\psi\right) + \left(\partial_\mu \varepsilon^a\right) \partial_a\psi, \tag{98}$$

that is, the derivative of the field does not transform as a field.

In order to recover covariance, it is necessary to introduce a gauge potential e_μ, a 1-form assuming values in the Lie algebra of the translation group: $e_\mu = e_\mu{}^a P_a$, where $P_a = \partial_a$ are the infinitesimal translation generators which satisfy $[P_a, P_b] = 0$.

A gauge transformation is defined as a local translation of the tangent-space coordinates, $x'^a = x^a + \varepsilon^a$, where $\varepsilon^a = \varepsilon^a(x^\mu)$ are the corresponding infinitesimal parameters. In terms of P_a, it can be written in the form $\delta x^a = \varepsilon^b P_b\, x^a$. Using the general definition of covariant derivative, we have

$$D\psi = (d + e)\psi = (d + e^a P_a)\psi. \tag{99}$$

From (99) we can see

$$D_\mu = \left(\partial_\mu x^a + e_\mu{}^a\right) \partial_a\psi = D_\mu x^a \partial_a\psi = h_\mu{}^a \partial_a\psi, \tag{100}$$

where

$$h_{\mu}{}^{a} = \partial_{\mu} x^{a} + e_{\mu}{}^{a} \text{ or } h^{\,a} = Dx^{a} = dx^{a} + e^{a} \tag{101}$$

is a nontrivial, (anholonomic) tetrad field. In fact, under a translation, the gauge potential transforms as an abelian connection, i.e., as

$$\delta e^{a} = d\varepsilon^{a} \tag{102}$$

and, given that $\delta x^{a} = -\varepsilon^{a}$, we have

$$\delta h^{a} = 0. \tag{103}$$

If we write the ordinary derivative $\partial_{\mu}\psi$ as

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi = e_{\ \mu}^{a}\partial_{a}\psi \tag{104}$$

with $e^{a}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} x^{a}$, the translational coupling prescription takes the form

$$e^{a}_{\ \mu}\partial_{a}\psi \longrightarrow h^{a}_{\mu}\partial_{a}\psi. \tag{105}$$

This means that

$$e^{a}_{\ \mu} \longrightarrow h^{a}_{\mu}. \tag{106}$$

Concomitantly with this replacement, the space-time metric changes according to

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^{a}_{\ \mu} e^{b}_{\ \mu} \longrightarrow g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} h^{a}_{\ \mu} h^{b}_{\ \mu}. \tag{107}$$

The change in the spacetime metric is therefore, a direct consequence of the translational coupling prescription.